



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,042	12/07/2005	Jeremy Nicholas Burrows	06275-432US1/100756-1P US	6562
26164 7590 05/08/2009 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				
EXAMINER MABRY, JOHN				
ART UNIT 1625		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 05/08/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/521,042	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BURROWS ET AL.	
		<b>Examiner</b> JOHN MABRY	<b>Art Unit</b> 1625	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) JOHN MABRY.

(2) KENDALL, JOHN.

**Date of Interview:** 4 May 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:53 pm

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*n/a*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/John Mabry/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner and Dr. John Kendall discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Restriction Requirement mailed on October 31, 2008. Dr. Kendall informed Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/521,042, filed on January 12, 2005.